UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

or the

Western District of North Carolina

			Damien Maurice Cabble  Defendant  Defendant	Case No. 3:09-cr-00084-MOC-SCR			
ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL							
Upon the Part I – Eligibility for Detention							
			Iotion of the Government attorney pursuant to Iotion of the Government or Court's own mot	o 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or ion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),			
				is warranted. This Order sets forth the Court's findings of 2(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.			
Part II – Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)							
	pres	umpt the co	ion that no condition or combination of conditions in the Defendant is charged with with one of the Defendant is charged with the maximum term Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ (21 U.S.C. §§ 951–971), or Chapter 705 Defendant is described in Subparagraph, or two described in Subparagraphs (a) through (c) in Subparagraphs (a) through (d) in Subparagraphs (d) in Subpar	the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1): U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § rm of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or entence is life imprisonment or death; or an of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the 801–904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501–70508); or invicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal and such offenses; or			
		(2)	921); (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (i the Defendant has previously been convicted	v) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and d of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. § would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving			
		, ,	the offense described in paragraph (2) above while the Defendant was on release pending	e for which the Defendant has been convicted was committed trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i> d since the date of conviction, or the release of the Defendant			
		(1)	from imprisonment, for the offense describe	d in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.			

П	<b>B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3)</b> (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of Defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the Defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:					
		(1)	an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801–904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951–971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501–70508);			
		(2)	an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;			
		(3)	an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;			
		(4)	an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581–1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; <b>or</b>			
		(5)	an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.			
	<b>C.</b> (	C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above				
		[	the Defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above.			
			OR			
		[	the Defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.			
	D. The Defendant Has Failed to Carry Defendant's Burden Under Rule 32.1(a)(6)					
		[	the Defendant was arrested for violating probation or supervised release. Under Rule 32.1 and 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(1), the Defendant has not shown by clear and convincing evidence that the Defendant will not flee or pose a danger to any other person or to the community.			
			Part III – Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention			
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the Defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has pro-						
			By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.			
			By a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the Defendant's appearance as required.			

United States Magistrate Judge